

**REMARKS**

Independent claims 1, 7 - 12 are amended above to require the project be directed to production of a product or providing services. Support is found in applicants' specification page 2, lines 15 - 16. No new matter is entered.

Claims 1 - 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hennings (US 6,763,496) in view of Jammes (US 6,484,149). However, as amended above, all of the pending claims (1 - 12) are now allowable for the following reasons.

Hennings is directed to a method for providing contextual information, such as comments by the author or recent changes, for a hyperlink on a display page so that a person viewing the hyperlink can better decide whether to select the hyperlink or not, before actually selecting the hyperlink, waiting for it to load, and viewing it.

The portion of Hennings cited as describing the first clause of applicants' claim 1, e.g., Hennings column 6, lines 53 - column 7, line 11 does not recite in any way building a project management data model as required by claim 1. Although, Hennings does describe text or a picture icon as the anchor for a hyperlink and applicants' claim 1 requires a project management data model to have entities and relationships described by text and graphical data, there is no suggestion in Hennings of a project management data model. Hennings, therefore, does not describe the first clause of claim 1.

END920000075US1

09/660,852

Regarding the third clause of claim 1, as now amended, which requires building a project management tool for a project for production of a product or providing services, having web pages, from said text and graphical data, Jammes column 1, lines 11 - 18 is cited. A careful examination of the cited portion shows that there is no description or suggestion of building a project management tool of any type as required by claim 1, much less building a project management tool for a project for production of a product or providing services. Jammes, therefore, does not describe this requirement of claim 1.

For each of these reasons, claim 1 is now allowable over Hennings in view of Jammes, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

All of the remaining independent claims 7 - 12 have been amended to recite the same requirements as claim 1 and are allowable for the same reasons. Claims 2 - 6 are all dependent directly or indirectly on allowable claim 1 and are therefore allowable.

Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and allowance of all of the claims as amended above.

The Application is deemed in condition for allowance and such action by the Examiner is urged. Should differences remain, however, which do not place one/more of the remaining claims in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to

END920000075US1 09/660,852

phone the undersigned at the number provided below for the purpose of providing constructive assistance and suggestions in accordance with M.P.E.P. Sections 707, 707.07(d) and 707.07(j) in order that allowable claims can be presented, thereby placing the application in condition for allowance without further proceedings being necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 04/03/06

By: John R. Pivnichny  
John R. Pivnichny  
Reg. No. 43,001

Telephone: (607)429-4358

Fax: (607)429-4119

END920000075US1

09/660,852

-10-